

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

fu/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMÉRCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/790,391	10/790,391 03/01/2004		William W. Oldfield	ANRI-08069US0	2687
23910	7590	03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
FLIESLER		•	ZARROLI, MICHAEL C		
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111				2839	
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,391	OLDFIELD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Zarroli	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEL	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ma	arch 2004.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 20-23 are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers	•					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a a All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/7/04</u> .	6) Other:	,				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a dielectric insert for a coaxial connector, classified in class 439, subclass 63.
 - II. Claims 20-23, drawn to a method of manufacturing a dielectric insert, classified in class 29, subclass 25.42.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed could be made without the use of the molded compensation step.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,391 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

4. During a telephone conversation with Michael Robbins on 3/21/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-19. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. Claim 20-23 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the implied language in line 1. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/790,391

Art Unit: 2839

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 16-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Heckman et al.

Heckman discloses a dielectric insert assembly to provide a hermetic seal in a coaxial connector (fig. 3), comprising: a substantially cylindrical sleeve (63) having a first inner diameter (fig. 3); and a dielectric insert (85) formed within the sleeve (fig. 5), the dielectric insert having a proximal end and a distal end (figures 5-7); wherein the distal end includes a recess having a second diameter (curved indents in 85 figures 5-7).

Regarding claim 17 Heckman discloses that the dielectric insert is a glass bead (col. 6 lines 28-29).

Regarding claim 18 Heckman discloses that the sleeve is a conductive metal (col. 4 line 59).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

1

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/790,391

Art Unit: 2839

15).

Page 5

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claim 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Heckman et al as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Szwec.

Heckman does not specifically disclose that molding forms the dielectric insert.

Szwec discloses a glass bead dielectric insert formed by molding (col. 4 lines 14-

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the dielectric insert of Heckman by using molding as the method of forming as taught by Szwec. The motivation for using this well-known method would be to reduce costs especially since molten glass lends itself ideally to the molding method of formation.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 1-15 are allowed over the prior art of record.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The positioning of the air dielectric and compensation gap.

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Zarroli whose telephone number is 571-272-2101. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 3:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.C. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Zarroli Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

MCŁ MCZ